Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/701,186	DUFFY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>121</u>		
(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Richard C. Billups {Reg. No. 31,916}.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 November 2006	Time: <u>1:45pm</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 14-19 and 22 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		,
L. P. III		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	onature – if appropriate)
, (Application		griature – ii appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative @1:45pm and left a voice mail message informing him that: (1) the rejection of claims 14 and 15 under 35 USC 103 was being withdrawn; and (2) the methods of use claims were being rejoined. Mr. Billups called the Examiner @2:39pm of same day to discuss the application. The Examiner requested permission to: (1) delete "preventing" from claims 18 and 23; (2) add a space in "Claim 19"; (3) change the dependency of claim 22 to depend from claim 20; and (4) add an "and" before the last substituent listed under the definition of R(2) in claim 14.